

Message

From: Lara, Rhina [Lara.Rhina@epa.gov]
Sent: 6/22/2021 7:23:43 PM
To: Perron, Monique [Perron.Monique@epa.gov]; Kiely, Timothy [Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov]; Wilbur, Donald [Wilbur.Donald@epa.gov]; Cyran, Carissa [Cyran.Carissa@epa.gov]; Reaves, Elissa [Reaves.Elissa@epa.gov]
CC: Pease, Anita [Pease.Anita@epa.gov]; Grable, Melissa [Grable.Melissa@epa.gov]; Gayoso, Jose [Gayoso.Jose@epa.gov]; Vogel, Dana [Vogel.Dana@epa.gov]; Akerman, Gregory [Akerman.Gregory@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: FOR HED AND AD: Follow up on press inquiry response re: pesticide regulation; DDL: 10:00 a.m. Wednesday

Thank you, Monique! I will move these answers forward for OPP IO review.

Best,
Rhina M. Lara (*she/her/hers*)
Communications Branch
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Phone: (202) 815- 5722

From: Perron, Monique <Perron.Monique@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2021 2:43 PM
To: Lara, Rhina <Lara.Rhina@epa.gov>; Kiely, Timothy <Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov>; Wilbur, Donald <Wilbur.Donald@epa.gov>; Cyran, Carissa <Cyran.Carissa@epa.gov>; Reaves, Elissa <Reaves.Elissa@epa.gov>
Cc: Pease, Anita <Pease.Anita@epa.gov>; Grable, Melissa <Grable.Melissa@epa.gov>; Gayoso, Jose <Gayoso.Jose@epa.gov>; Vogel, Dana <Vogel.Dana@epa.gov>; Akerman, Gregory <Akerman.Gregory@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: FOR HED AND AD: Follow up on press inquiry response re: pesticide regulation; DDL: 10:00 a.m. Wednesday

Rhina/Carissa,

Below are the responses that HED has drafted for the remaining follow-up questions. Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Monique

INCOMING:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

RESPONSE:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

INCOMING:

Re acetamiprid: I now understand that EPA never adopted the lower number - the 2.5 mg/kg day. So my question is: why wasn't Nguyen's memo considered or responded to in the file? Why didn't they change the number based on the statistical analysis of EPA's own statistician? If EPA believed his analysis to be incorrect, why wasn't there anything in the file correcting it?

RESPONSE:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

~~~~~  
Monique Perron  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Pesticide Programs | Health Effects Division  
Immediate Office  
*Email:* [perron.monique@epa.gov](mailto:perron.monique@epa.gov)  
*Phone:* (703) 347-0395

\*\*\*\*\*  
Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential, deliberative, internal, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

**From:** Lara, Rhina <[Lara.Rhina@epa.gov](mailto:Lara.Rhina@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 22, 2021 8:48 AM  
**To:** Kiely, Timothy <[Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov](mailto:Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov)>; Wilbur, Donald <[Wilbur.Donald@epa.gov](mailto:Wilbur.Donald@epa.gov)>; Cyran, Carissa <[Cyran.Carissa@epa.gov](mailto:Cyran.Carissa@epa.gov)>; Reaves, Elissa <[Reaves.Elissa@epa.gov](mailto:Reaves.Elissa@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Pease, Anita <[Pease.Anita@epa.gov](mailto:Pease.Anita@epa.gov)>; Grable, Melissa <[Grable.Melissa@epa.gov](mailto:Grable.Melissa@epa.gov)>; Gayoso, Jose <[Gayoso.Jose@epa.gov](mailto:Gayoso.Jose@epa.gov)>; Vogel, Dana <[Vogel.Dana@epa.gov](mailto:Vogel.Dana@epa.gov)>; Akerman, Gregory <[Akerman.Gregory@epa.gov](mailto:Akerman.Gregory@epa.gov)>; Perron, Monique <[Perron.Monique@epa.gov](mailto:Perron.Monique@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: FOR HED AND AD: Follow up on press inquiry response re: pesticide regulation; DDL: 10:00 a.m. Wednesday  
**Importance:** High

Hi All,

Thank you, Tim! Looks like we just have the following two responses left. I just need confirmation that we can move forward with the first response and help with a response to the question on statistical analysis. We are still hoping to meet the 10:00 AM deadline.

**INCOMING:**

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

**RESPONSE:**

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

**INCOMING:**

Re acetamiprid: I now understand that EPA never adopted the lower number - the 2.5 mg/kg day. So my question is: why wasn't Nguyen's memo considered or responded to in the file? Why didn't they change the number based on the statistical analysis of EPA's own statistician? If EPA believed his analysis to be incorrect, why wasn't there anything in the file correcting it?

**RESPONSE:**

Best,  
**Rhina M. Lara** (*she/her/hers*)  
Communications Branch  
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Phone: (202) 815- 5722

**From:** Kiely, Timothy <[Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov](mailto:Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 22, 2021 7:17 AM  
**To:** Wilbur, Donald <[Wilbur.Donald@epa.gov](mailto:Wilbur.Donald@epa.gov)>; Cyran, Carissa <[Cyran.Carissa@epa.gov](mailto:Cyran.Carissa@epa.gov)>; Reaves, Elissa

<Reaves.Elissa@epa.gov>

**Cc:** Pease, Anita <Pease.Anita@epa.gov>; Grable, Melissa <Grable.Melissa@epa.gov>; Gayoso, Jose <Gayoso.Jose@epa.gov>; Vogel, Dana <Vogel.Dana@epa.gov>; Akerman, Gregory <Akerman.Gregory@epa.gov>; Perron, Monique <Perron.Monique@epa.gov>; Lara, Rhina <Lara.Rhina@epa.gov>

**Subject:** RE: FOR HED AND AD: Follow up on press inquiry response re: pesticide regulation; DDL: 10:00 a.m. Wednesday

Thank you Don. I don't have any comments/edits.

Tim

---

**From:** Wilbur, Donald <Wilbur.Donald@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Monday, June 21, 2021 8:00 PM

**To:** Cyran, Carissa <Cyran.Carissa@epa.gov>; Kiely, Timothy <Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov>; Reaves, Elissa <Reaves.Elissa@epa.gov>

**Cc:** Pease, Anita <Pease.Anita@epa.gov>; Grable, Melissa <Grable.Melissa@epa.gov>; Gayoso, Jose <Gayoso.Jose@epa.gov>; Vogel, Dana <Vogel.Dana@epa.gov>; Akerman, Gregory <Akerman.Gregory@epa.gov>; Perron, Monique <Perron.Monique@epa.gov>; Lara, Rhina <Lara.Rhina@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Re: FOR HED AND AD: Follow up on press inquiry response re: pesticide regulation; DDL: 10:00 a.m. Wednesday

Adding PRD since the first question may be for them.

Sent from my EPA iPhone

On Jun 21, 2021, at 6:41 PM, Cyran, Carissa <Cyran.Carissa@epa.gov> wrote:

Hello,

We received 3 follow-up questions from the Intercept reporter regarding the pesticide regulation questions/statements. I pulled together some language based on emails and additional text that wasn't included in the final response. **Can you please review and/or provide additional language to address the questions below by 10:00 am Wednesday?**

I will be out of the office, but Rhina (cc'd) will move this back to OPP IO and OCSPP IO for review. Thank you!

**INCOMING:**

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

**RESPONSE:** Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

**INCOMING:**

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

**RESPONSE:** Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

INCOMING:

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

RESPONSE:

**From:** Daguillard, Robert <[Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov](mailto:Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov)>

**Sent:** Monday, June 21, 2021 11:33 AM

**To:** OPS CSID CB <[OPS\\_CSID\\_CB@epa.gov](mailto:OPS_CSID_CB@epa.gov)>; Dunton, Cheryl <[Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov](mailto:Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** OPS: Questions about pesticide regulation

Team, follow-up questions from Sharon. Thanks as always.

Hi Ken-

I have three follow-up questions:

When you say "Additionally, 12,056 pesticide products were cancelled during the Reregistration process," do you mean voluntarily cancelled - ie that the companies agreed not to reregister them? or involuntarily?

Re bifenthrin: you write that "The results from the special pharmacokinetic (PK) study support the use of an oral study to assess the carcinogenic potential of bifenthrin which would be protective of tumors following inhalation exposure." I am not sure what special pharmacokinetic (PK) study you are referring to. Did you mention this elsewhere in your response? Is this a reference to the subchronic inhalation study?

Re acetamiprid: I now understand that EPA never adopted the lower number - the 2.5 mg/kg day. So my question is: why wasn't Nguyen's memo considered or responded to in the file? Why didn't they change the number based on the statistical analysis of EPA's own statistician? If EPA believed his analysis to be incorrect, why wasn't there anything in the file correcting it?

Thanks,  
Sharon

Sharon Lerner  
Investigative Reporter  
The Intercept  
mobile/signal 718-877-5236  
[@fastlerner](mailto:@fastlerner)  
<https://theintercept.com/staff/sharonlerner/>

On Jun 17, 2021, at 4:16 PM, Labbe, Ken <[Labbe.Ken@epa.gov](mailto:Labbe.Ken@epa.gov)> wrote:

Hi Sharon, Please see our responses below:

1.

2.

## **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

3.

### **RESPONSE:**

## **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

4.

## **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

**RESPONSE:**

## **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

5.

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

**RESPONSE:**

6.

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

**RESPONSE:**

7.

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

**RESPONSE:**

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**



8.

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

9.

**RESPONSE:**

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

## **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

**RESPONSE:**

10.

## **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

**RESPONSE:**

11.

## **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

## **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

**RESPONSE:**

## **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

12.

**RESPONSE:**

## **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

**RESPONSE:**

## **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Kenneth T. Labbe  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Public Affairs  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20460  
Office: 202-564-1486  
Cell: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)